Simulation study on automotive EMB system based on self-tuning fuzzy PID control
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Abstract. To enhance stability of automobile brake, and shorten brake distance, study is made on anti-lock control and its control theory based on electronic mechanical brake system (EMB system). Models related to EMB system was built according to dynamics analysis for automobile braking system, and simulation was made to those models under Anti-Lock Braking System (ABS System). Then, self-tuning fuzzy PID controller was put forward to improve fuzzy control and PID control. Comparison was made between braking mode that without ABS control and self-tuning fuzzy PID. Finally, pavement simulation was made in order to verify the adaptiveness of self-tuning fuzzy PID controller. The results showed that models related to EMB system are effective and have met the national standard and code for braking system. Automobile braking performance was improved because fuzzy control and PID control was improved by self-tuning fuzzy PID control. And it is concluded that self-tuning fuzzy PID controller is good at identify pavement types which meets the control requirement and expectations.
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1. Introduction

The braking system is one of the most important parts of an automobile. Its main function is: first of all, to ensure stable parking at high speed, and when accidents occur, it is very important to its performance requirements. Secondly, its function is to ensure that the vehicles, in the event of poor road conditions and when necessary to reduce the speed, can slow down the parking; or in downhill road, when necessary to travel at a constant speed, to ensure that the brake has high reliability and does not fail due to friction sheet overheating [1–3]. The last one is the parking function. Parking is, with a constant braking force, to ensure that the vehicles stay in place after the end of driving, and do not move because of terrain and other reasons. These are the functions that the braking system should have.
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The braking system is mainly composed of a drive mechanism and a brake actuating mechanism. The braking transmission mechanism can be divided into mechanical transmission and hydraulic transmission in accordance with the transmission mode. The simple mechanical drive is seldom used at present, and hydraulic transmission is the most widely used transmission method [4–6]. However, with the development of intelligent systems, the number of electronic control systems is gradually increasing, which makes the hydraulic system with pipeline transmission become more complex and difficult to maintain. Therefore, it has become an important problem for people to study a kind of system with simple structure and reliable function.

As one of the most important performances for automobile, automobile braking performance, such as braking distant, side slipping and braking direction, is responsible for traffic accident. Under certain braking initial speed, braking distant is related to two factors, brake response time and wheels’ utilization rate of pavement deceleration. Overall circuit transfer was used by EMB, thus response time for braking controller braking distant is shortened [7]. Braking distant is further shortened by adopting effective ABS control because pavement adhesion is used to the highest degree [8]. In terms of intelligence and safety, system structure is simplified by adopting CAN and EMB, because of which fault detection and maintenance is more convenient. Thus, fault detection and maintenance for braking system is improved [9]. In conclusion, security of automobile control can be ensured by adopting improved EMB system.

2. State of the art

Originally, EMB system is used for plane [10], and this system is in the improvement stage for automobile field. Significant achievements for study and application of fuzzy theory are mainly made in America or countries and regions in European. For research results on fuzzy ABS, slip rate predictor is introduced by Georg E. Mauer [11] and his partners based on ABS controller. Using this slip rate predictor, good control is achieved in simulation for single wheel model, and robustness is improved. Model-based control methods such as PID controller and fuzzy controller, was combined by R. Sun [12] and this controller combination verified that adaptability to different pavement is improved comparing with PID controller. Robust controller is built by Chin-Min Lin and his partners based on fuzzy controller. Certain control effects are made when fuzzy controller is adopted for braking control and robust controller is adopted for adjusting control errors of fuzzy control [13]. Regarding research status at home and abroad, there are disadvantages in fuzzy control though great progresses are achieved. Thus, further study and discussion is needed theoretically and pragmatically.

Compared with foreign countries, the application of fuzzy theory in our country started relatively late, but it has developed rapidly. In recent years, many universities and automobile research institutions in China have done a great deal of theoretical and experimental researches on ABS fuzzy control technology, which laid the foundation for the development of ABS fuzzy control in our country. The famous scholar Guo Konghui, based on the simplified model, designed the fuzzy controller
and the adaptive fuzzy controller. As a result, the vehicle can achieve better control in variable conditions, which overcomes the shortcomings of single control and so on. Li Jun, Yu Fan, Zhang Jianwu and other scholars proposed control strategy of road recognition in the process of steering braking. The strategy, according to the road adhesion conditions and the motion state, the vehicle pavement condition was estimated, wheel optimal slip ratio was real-time calculated, and the corresponding control strategies were made, so the braking and vehicle lateral stability was greatly improved. Mo Yousheng, Zhu Rong and Li Sien put forward adaptive fuzzy neural network control system with combination of fuzzy control and neural network control. In addition, it was compared with the fuzzy control, and the simulation was made to verify the validity of the control. Chen Jiong, Wang Huiyi and Song Jian designed a fuzzy controller based on slip ratio and speed reduction. The simulation was carried out on a vehicle model of freedom, and it was proved that the controller is more adaptive than the logic threshold method.

From the present research situation at home and abroad, although fuzzy control has been greatly developed, there is still a lack of fuzzy control. For instance, the creation and analysis methods of fuzzy control system were still in the primary stage, and the stability theory was not mature. In addition, the modeling of fuzzy systems, establishment of fuzzy rules and fuzzy inference methods were also not well resolved. As a result, both theory and application need to be further studied and discussed.

3. Methodology

3.1. Dynamics modeling for EMB

Automobile EMB system is mainly made up of electronic pedal, electronic control unit (ECU), wheel braking system, and power [14, 15]. A set of braking system and wheel speed sensor (WSP) is installed on each wheel of the automobile. Each braking system contains a control unit (CU) to control performance of electric machine and the needed control signals is provided by ECU [16, 17]. For general structure, see Fig. 1.
External force is needed to decelerate or stop automobile in a short time [18]. Among external forces, braking force is one of the most important one to decelerate automobile. Thus, braking performance is studied and this study is mainly focused on effectiveness of braking force to automobile movement.

When wheel braking on hard pavement, rolling friction couple, inertia force and inertia couple occurred during deceleration were ignored. Figure 2 shows stress state of wheel.

\[ F_{xq} = F_q = \frac{T_q}{r}. \]  

During automobile braking, friction plate gradually touches brake disc with increasing braking force. At this state, \( T_q \) is not big enough to lock wheel. This is called state of friction and rolling. Under this state, pavement brake torque equals to \( T_q \), and pavement brake torque is in direct proportion to \( T_q \). When \( T_q \) keeps increasing, there is only friction state for wheel. At this state, pavement brake force is no longer in direct proportion to \( T_q \), and its limit value is the adhesive force \( F_\varphi \), see the below equality

\[ F_{xq} \leq F_\varphi = F_{z\varphi}. \]  

During braking, state of wheel is changed from rolling to lock and slipping. In this state, wheel is rolling and slipping, and slipping is decided by slip rate. The below expression

\[ S = \frac{v - v_r}{v} \times 100\% = \frac{v - r\omega}{v} \times 100\% \]  

shows slip rate.

In the above expression, \( v \) denotes the automobile speed, \( v_r \) denotes the wheel speed and \( \omega \) denotes the angular speed of wheel. In the rolling state, \( v = v_r \), thus \( S = 0 \). In state of rolling and slipping, \( 0 < S < 100\% \). In locked friction state, \( v_r = 0 \) and \( S = 100\% \). Steering capability of automobile is lost, which is very dangerous working state.

Modeling on automobile brake system based on above dynamics analysis. Mathematical model about automobile brake system is mainly made up of vehicle dynamics
model, tire model, and brake system model.

3.1.1. Dynamics model of single wheel vehicle. In order to verify controller performance and highlight its control law, a single wheel automobile is studied. Vehicle dynamic functions of two-degree-of-freedom is built based on wheel driving direction and direction of rotating around principle axis.

Vehicle movement function is

$$M \dot{v} = -F_{xq}. \quad (4)$$

Wheel movement function is

$$I \dot{\omega} = rF_{xq} - T_q \quad (5)$$

and the longitudinal friction of wheel is

$$F_{xq} = \phi F_z. \quad (6)$$

In the above expressions, $M$ denotes 1/4 of the vehicle weight, $F_{xq}$ denotes the cohesion force of tire to pavement and $I$ denotes the rotational inertia of the wheel. Finally $F_z$ denotes the normal reaction of pavement to wheel.

3.1.2. Tire model. Tire is the only part of vehicle that contact with pavement, and its cohesion to pavement, and its driving force, braking force, and trafficability to vehicle is of significant influence. Tire model can be used to approximate rapid analyze vehicle controllability and stability theoretically. Tire model reflects function relationships between pavement cohesion and other parameters. The below bilinear model is used to study tire model.

The bilinear model is a simplified tire model. Relationship of slip rate and adhesion coefficient is nonlinear. For the convenient of function solving, bilinear model is piecewise linearized, see Fig.3. The function for bilinear tire model is deduced according to Fig.3. Figure 3 is missing.

$$\begin{cases} 
\varphi = \frac{\phi_p S}{S_o} & , \quad S < S_o \\
\varphi = \frac{\phi_p - \phi_s}{1 - S_o} - \frac{\phi_p - \phi_s - S}{1 - S_o} & , \quad S > S_o 
\end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In the above function, $S$ denotes the wheel slip rate, $S_o$ denotes the optimal slip rate, $\phi_p$ denotes the maximum adhesion coefficient; $\phi_s$denotes slip adhesion coefficient.

The simplified bilinear tire model is adopted, and Table 1 shows the parameters of experimental made on typical pavement.

3.1.3. Model for EMB braking system. A brushless direct current motor is adopted as motive power of EMB system. Mainly, electronic machine in locked-rotor state is studied, which is focused on wheel braking state. The below function shows relationship between locked-rotor current and control signal of electronic machine
Table 1. Parameters of experiments on typical pavement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of pavement</th>
<th>$S_o$</th>
<th>$\phi_p$</th>
<th>$\phi_s$</th>
<th>Bilinear model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Concrete pavement          | 0.2   | 0.89     | 0.76     | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\phi = 4.5S, \quad S < 0.2 \\
\phi = 0.92 - 0.19S, \quad S > 0.2
\end{array} \right.$ |
| Dry bitumen pavement       | 0.16  | 0.82     | 0.76     | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\phi = 4.7S, \quad S < 0.16 \\
\phi = 0.82 - 0.29S, \quad S > 0.16
\end{array} \right.$ |
| Wet bitumen pavement       | 0.13  | 0.78     | 0.52     | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\phi = 6S, \quad S < 0.13 \\
\phi = 0.83 - 0.32S, \quad S > 0.13
\end{array} \right.$ |
| Pavement covered by snow   | 0.06  | 0.22     | 0.15     | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\phi = 3.3S, \quad S < 0.06 \\
\phi = 0.23 - 0.06S, \quad S > 0.06
\end{array} \right.$ |

$I_c = k_c \cdot \alpha,$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $I_c$ denotes locked-rotor current, $k_c$ denotes conversion relations between control signals and locked-rotor current, $\alpha$ denotes inputted control signal. Below function shows relations between $I_c$ and output torque of electronic machine.

$$T_m = 9.55k_G \cdot I_c, \quad k_G = \frac{E}{n_o}, \quad E = U_o - I_o r_o.$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

For the above function, $T_m$ denotes the output torque of electronic machine, $I_o$ denotes the non-load current, $I_c$ denotes the current of locked-rotor, $U_o$ denotes the non-load voltage of power, $E$ denotes the counter electromotive force of the armature winding, $r_o$ denotes the average resistance of armature winding, $k_G$ denotes counter electromotive force coefficient, and $n_o$ denotes the idle speed of electronic machine.

Planetary reducer is adopted by reducing mechanism model which is made up of sun wheel and planet carrier. $T_m$ denotes input moment of sun wheel, and torque $T_x$ denotes output planetary reducer. Below function shows the relations.

$$T_x = T_m \cdot i \cdot \eta_x,$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

where, $i$ denotes transmission ratio of speed reducer, $\eta_x$ and denotes transmission efficiency of planetary mechanism. Motion transfer device is made up of ball screw-nut pair. Finally, $T_x$ denotes the input and $P$ denotes outputted thrust of ball screw.

$$P = T_x \cdot \eta_g \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L_h}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

In the above formulas, $L_h$ denotes lead of screw thread and $\eta_g$ denotes transmission efficiency of ball screw. Ball screw and brake caliper were connected to each other and lining pad of brake caliper and brake disc are connected to each other through thrust $P$. Braking torque is produced due to friction of lining pad and brake disc. Below function shows relations between brake pressure and brake...
moment when friction surface of lining pad and brake disc connects well.

\[ T_q = 2P \cdot k_p \cdot R. \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

In above expression, \( k_p \) denotes brake friction coefficient, \( R \) denotes action radius, and \( P \) denotes the lead screw thrust. Table 2 shows the parameters of the used electric machine, and Table 3 shows the parameters of EMB brake actuator.

### Table 2. Parameters of electric machine of permanent magnet DC motor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter names</th>
<th>Non-load voltage (V)</th>
<th>Non-load current (A)</th>
<th>Armature resistance (Ω)</th>
<th>Non-load speed (r/min)</th>
<th>Locked-rotor current (A)</th>
<th>Maximum locked-rotor current (A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symbols</td>
<td>( U_0 )</td>
<td>( I_0 )</td>
<td>( r_o )</td>
<td>( n_o )</td>
<td>( I_c )</td>
<td>( I_{c \text{ max}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Parameter of EMB brake actuator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols of parameters</th>
<th>( i )</th>
<th>( \eta_x )</th>
<th>( L_h )</th>
<th>( \eta_g )</th>
<th>( k_p )</th>
<th>( R )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2. Modeling on brake system of electric mechanical machine

Matlab/Simulink is used to model and simulate subsystems. Without control of ABS, effectiveness of the EMB brake system is tested.

#### 3.2.1. Subsystem of single wheeled vehicle. After solving functions (4), (5) and (6), below expressions for \( v \), \( v_r \) and \( s \) can be obtained:

\[ v = -\int \frac{F_{xq}}{M} \, dt, \quad v_r = \frac{r}{l} \int (rF_{xq} - T_q) \, dt, \quad s = -\int \left( \int \frac{F_{xq}}{M} \, dt \right) \, dt. \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Simulation model of a single wheel can be built based on (13). With the input of \( T_q \) and \( F_{xq} \), the curve of \( v \) and \( v_r \) changing with time can be obtained.

#### 3.2.2. Subsystem of slip rate. Based on (3), subsystem of slip rate can be built. For this function, inputting \( v \), \( v_r \) and adopting \( F_{cn} \) function, the slip rate of vehicle is output.

#### 3.2.3. Subsystem of tire model. Simulation model is built based on bilinear calculation function (7), which inputs slip rate and outputs longitudinal adhesion coefficient.

#### 3.2.4. Subsystem of brake model. Brake actuator is made up of brake transmission mechanism and brake, whose simulation module is built based on relations
(8–12), inputting control signal \(v\), and outputting braking torque \(T_q\).

### 3.3. Design of self-tuning fuzzy PID controller

Self-tuning fuzzy PID controller is the combination of fuzzy control and traditional PID control, which tunes PID control parameters online using fuzzy theory related knowledge. Intelligence of fuzzy control and sensitivity of PID control is integrated by this tuning. Figure 3 shows the system structure.

![Fig. 3. Structure of self-tuning fuzzy system for automobile ABS](image)

Self-tuning fuzzy PID controller is adjusting values of \(k_p\), \(k_i\), \(k_d\) in real time according to fuzzy control theory, thus objects is controlled. This controller inputs error \(e\) and its variation \(\Delta e\), which is transmitted to \(E\) and \(\Delta E\) after a fuzzy process. Symbols \(k_p^*, k_i^*, k_d^*\) are fuzzy set of output quantities \(k_p\), \(k_i\), \(k_d\). For input quantities \(e\) and \(\Delta e\), the universe of fuzzy set is \([-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3]\), whose fuzzy language variables are \{NB, NM, NS, ZO, PS, PM, PB\}. For output quantities \(k_p^*, k_i^*, k_d^*\), the universe of fuzzy set is \([-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3]\), whose fuzzy language variables are \{NB, NM, NS, ZO, PS, PM, PB\}, which represent big negative, medium negative, small negative, zero, small positive, medium positive, and big negative, respectively.

The fuzzy control Table 4 is built based on characteristics without PID control and different \(e\) and \(\Delta e\) input by the system.

### 4. Results analysis and discussion

### 5. Test on effectiveness of system model of electronic mechanical brake system

Concrete pavement with relatively high adhesive force is used to test brake performance of EMB. Relatively strong brake force can be produced on concrete pavement. Table 5 shows the vehicle parameters.

It can be seen from Fig. 4 that in the initial braking phase, pavement braking torque is in direct proportion to \(T_q\) while pavement braking torque is in inverse proportion to \(T_q\) after reaching its value. Without ABS control, adhesive coefficient is decreasing with the rapid increasing slip rate of wheel, thus ground adhering moment is decreased. When \(T_q\) keeps increasing, ground adhering brake moment will remain the same torque value with that of in slipping state.
Table 4. Rule list of fuzzy control for $k^*_p$, $k^*_i$ and $k^*_d$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k^*_p$</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZO</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k^*_i$</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZO</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k^*_d$</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZO</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>ZO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Parameters of single wheel vehicle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>1/4 Vehicle weight (kg)</th>
<th>Wheel radius (m)</th>
<th>Wheel rotary inertia (kg·m²)</th>
<th>Gravitational acceleration (m/s²)</th>
<th>Initial speed of braking (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Signs</td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>$v$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is known from above simulation experiment that the wheel was locked in the 1.28 s after emergent brake under EMB brake system. Braking distance is 16.24 m, braking time is 2.34 s and the maximum vehicle deceleration is 8.85 m/s². Besides, the average deceleration is 7.16 m/s², and average braking coordination time is 0.14 s. This meets the national standard that requires coordination time should less than 0.35 s under such working state. Thus, EMB brake meets national requirement for braking performance well.
5.1. Automobile ABS simulation based on self-tuning fuzzy PID control

Figure 5 shows automobile ABS simulation model built based on self-tuning fuzzy PID control.

In order to test the effectiveness of self-tuning fuzzy PID controller, comparison was made between braking systems with self-tuning fuzzy PID controller and system without ANS controller. Concrete pavement is chosen to be studied, with expected slip rate of 0.2, slip adhesion coefficient of 0.75, and initial braking speed of 24 m/s. Table 1 shows simulation parameters and Figs. 6 and 7 show the simulation results.

It can be seen from simulation results in Figs. 6 and 7 that the vehicle braking distance is 37.26 m and braking time is 3 s when the initial speed is 24 m/s. During braking, shown as Fig. 6, upper part, the slip rate remains the same as that of in 0.2 s and reaches its maximum in 0.3 s when without ABS control. It is shown on Fig. 6, bottom part, that without ABS control, vehicle speed is 23 m/s when wheel is locked. While under self-tuning fuzzy PID control, the wheel is locked when vehicle...
speed is 0 m/s, which improves the braking stability. For Fig. 7, upper part, under ABS control, vehicle speed remains unchanged when it hits the highest deceleration $8.85 \text{ m/s}^2$. Comparing vehicle speed without ABS control, this takes full advantages of ground adhesion. Besides, under fuzzy control, the braking distance is shortened by 7.41 m, and braking time is shortened by 0.62 s. In the whole braking process, it is shown in Fig. 7, bottom part, that the output is relatively stable. Thus, self-tuning fuzzy PID controller meets the ABS control goal and requirements of safety compared with the state without ABS control.

The simulation and analysis was made based on bitumen pavement and pavement covered by snow. It is known that, in the 0.5 s, slip rate hits its optimal record of 0.06 and remains good stability when braking on the pavement covered by snow. In the 2 s after braking, when changing from pavement covered by snow to bitumen pavement, slip rate is unstable, which changed back to stable states 1 s later and
remains stable until vehicle stopped. For this process, braking distance is 79.37 m, and braking time is 5.11 s, which meets the requirements of changing from pavement covered by snow to bitumen pavement.

Fig. 7. Vehicle deceleration (up) and braking torque (bottom) for system with self-tuning fuzzy PID control and system without ABS control

6. Conclusion

This study is based on structure of braking system and braking methods, and below are the main research contents: EMB braking system model, tire model and single wheel vehicle model are built based on stress analysis of braking vehicle. Effectiveness of EMB braking system is verified that it met national standards for braking system. Improved self-tuning fuzzy PID controller is designed. And simulation results show that self-tuning fuzzy PID controller can adjust automatically according to external changes, which solves parameter setting problem of PID con-
controller because PID controller is nonlinearity and its parameters are changing with time. Adaptability of self-tuning fuzzy PID controller is verified by sudden changed pavement types. This simulation result shows that self-tuning fuzzy PID controller can control slip rate around target value, and identify optimal slip rate for different pavements, thus output of braking force is accurate controlled.
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